(Discussion at the bench.)

THE COURT: There has been some concern raised by the U.S. Attorneys about the inquiry in open court about a particular witness who is or isn't -- at least the Defense suspects that he is engaging in, as a participant in, the Witness Security Program.

The attorney for the Government has asked that the Court consider that motion not in open court but in a closed session. The Defense has objected to that proceeding.

Anything either side wants to put in in reference to that statement of the record?

MR. MARTI: I'm not saying that we need to close the courtroom. What I am saying is there is no necessity to have argument now in open court. And largely, that the basis for the motion is a Giglio Motion. They are looking for information that they believe may impeach a potential Government witness. And the Government has its duty and we will respond to the motion that we will provide Giglio in discovery. And that it is not necessary for purposes of resolving the motion to have this argument in --

THE COURT: Okay, are you suggesting that any argument that would be made would be placed under seal?

I mean, what exactly are you asking the parameters to be

on the Defense side?

MR. MARTI: Well, if they have an additional argument that they want to submit, then we can probably do it on the pleadings. But to allow Mr. Engh to stand up at the podium and allege that a Government witness is in the Witness Security Program, whether or not that is true, puts that witness -- puts that witness' family and puts court personnel at risk. And it is not necessary to resolve this motion.

MR. ENGH: Well, number one, the motion has been filed and it is part of the public record and it has been publicized. So, this is no secret to begin with.

Number two, we have a right to a public proceeding here. This is a case of state and nationwide interest, apparently.

Number three, it is not <u>Giglio</u>, it is <u>Brady</u>, this guy was a percipient witness and we need to know everything about him. I mean he has got a Nebraska Social Security number with a Boston, Brooklyn accent. He was never born in Nebraska.

We have a good faith belief for raising our motion. We have good faith information to support it, which I am not going to disclose to you. And it is not just about whether they will call him, which is what their memo has. It is about impeaching what he has

done, and it is also about governmental complicity in this alleged scheme.

So, we want it as a part of the Government record. I am sorry. They indicted him. They didn't

have to have him in the case. He is on all of the tapes. They didn't have to do that, either. They took

7 the risk.

THE COURT: The Court is going to Order that the Government's Motion is denied in part and granted in part. I am going to deny the part where the Government is seeking to deny the Defense attorneys the opportunity in open court to make an argument.

I am going to grant it in part as follows: In your argument, you may not identify the witness.

MR. ENGH: Okay, I object to that. I note my objection.

THE COURT: Sure. Anything further on the Government's side?

MR. MARTI: No. The Court has ruled.

THE COURT: Anything further on the Defense side?

MR. HOPEMAN: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.

(In open court.)