1 2 3 4 5 6	Steven Haas ("Laser") Private Attorney General 108 E Jewel Street Delmar, Delaware 19940 Laser. Haas @ Yahoo.com	CLERH U.S. BISTONET COURT CENTRAL BISTONET COURT LOS ANGELES
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	Steven ("Laser") Haas	) Case No.: 2:13-cv-7738 SVW (AVG)
12	"Pro se"	
13	108 E Jewel Street	
14	Delmar, DE 19940	COMPLAINT
15	Laser.Haas @ Yahoo.com	
16	,	RACKETEERING CIVIL
17	Plaintiff,	PRELIMINARY
18	V.	RICO CASE STATEMENT
19	Willard Mitt Romney 311 Dunemere Drive	1ST EDITION – PART A
20	La Jolla, California	
21	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	) ארו הדור הפונה א או מו הוו או או או הפונה אין או הפונה או או הוו או או
22	Paul Traub C/O Rosner 824 Market St.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
23	Wilmington, DE 19801	
24		
25	Bain Capital 335 Bryant St	
26	Palo Alto, CA, 94301	
27	,	
28	John & Jane "Doe's" 1 thru 10	
		)

1	Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnel	
2	1201 N. Market Street	
3	Wilmington, DE 19801	
4	Greg Werkheiser	
5	C/O MNAT 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
6	1201 N. Market Street	
7	Wilmington, DE 19801	
8	Barry Gold	
9	C/O Frederick Rosner	
10	824 Market. Suite 810	
11	Wilmington, DE 19801	
12	Michael Glazer	
13	CEO Stage Stores	
14	10201 Main Street	
15	Houston, Texas 77025	
16	Colm F Connolly	
17	Nemours Building }	
18	1007 N. Orange St	
19	Wilmington, DE 19801 	
20	Goldman Sachs	
21	2121 Avenue of the Stars	
22	Los Angeles, CA 90067	
23	  Johann Hamerski	
24	P.O. Box 110371 Huffman Park	
25	Anchorage, Alaska 99511	
	{	
26	Defendant(s)	
27		
28		

## PRELIMINARY RICO CASE STATEMENT

## I Opening Remarks

May it please the court that this litigant comes before it now - seeking adjudication upon the merits - starting with this Preliminary RICO Case Statement?

There are many troubling matters in this instant racketeering case. Such as federal venality, material adverse harm, mayhem and homicides; which are in dire need of an arduous, swift and harsh hammer of justice.

Clear and convincing evidence exists that the Code & Rule of Law is subordinate; as it is being assaulted by power, money, undue influence and might makes right.

Whereas I, Steven Haas (more commonly known as "Laser" Haas) do state that among the many victims of the RICO; plaintiff's business is one of the interstate commerce undertakings that is harmed by racketeering.

## II DEFENDANTS ARE ACCUSED OF RACKETEERING

This instant case is necessary due to the named

Defendants violating the Law of United States Code

Title 18\$1961 thru 1968; explicitly defiant of the Racketeer

Influence & Corrupt Organizations ("RICO") Act of 1970.

Plaintiff hereby charges that Willard Mitt Romney ("Romney"), Michael Glazer, Paul Traub, Barry Gold, Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell ("MNAT") and MNAT's current partner Greg Werkheiser, along with former MNAT partner Colm Connolly (who also was the Delaware United States Attorney from August 2, 2001 until the time of "Connolly" resignation in 2008), and also Johann Hamerski (a self-professed partner of Jack Abramoff), along with Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital; are named as the Racketeering "Defendants" herein.

### III JURISDICTION - VENUE

Jurisdiction of this District is sound and proper under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, 1962 & 1964 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1343, 1346, 1361 & 1367.

Plaintiff's business, along with many other victims in the District of Southern California.

Many victims, including the nations Presidential Election process, has suffered due to the Defendants statutory violations and exploitations substantial.

Barry Gold works for eToys in Irvine, California.

4

5 6

7 8

10

9

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital utilize offices in the State of California.

Defendant Mitt Romney lives in Southern California. Process to compel all defendants to appear here under 18 U.S.C. § 1965 is correct as "venue generally" - as is permitted under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

#### IV PLAINTIFF CIVIL RIGHT TO PROCEED AS PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

It is held by the Supreme Court of United States ("US Sup Ct"), in Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Imrex Co., Inc., 473 U.S. 479 (1985) that an actionable RICO injury must be caused by alleged specific "predicate acts".

Plaintiff alleges harms to his business as direct, proximate results of specific violations of United States Code ("USC") Title 18, Section 1961 (also known as {"a/k/a"} "predicate acts" defilements).

Whereas the essence of a RICO claim is commission of predicate acts within the conduct of an enterprise. (See Id. at 497 "[a] ny recoverable damages occurring by reason of a violation of Section 1962(c) will flow from the commission of the predicate acts").

It's also a requisite of Law that a plaintiff needs to document *proximate* harm from *predicate acts* violations to substantiate a claim of harm under 18 USC \$ 1962(c).

Whereas plaintiff alleges, and is able to provide proof at trial that <u>his business</u> and other *interstate* commerce was/is directly harmed by Defendants who are culpable parties, engaging in racketeering by patterns of State and Federal (predicate act) felony violations.

Today, many civil RICO claims do not involve simple "single" entity enterprises such as an individual, or a "partnership" and/or "corporation." Instead, they often involve enterprises consisting of an "association in fact" of various individuals and entities, often including some if not all of the defendants (whose roles may vary).

In 1981, in the case of *United States v. Turkette*, 452 U.S. 576 the United States Supreme Court concluded that the members of an "association in fact" enterprise must associate together for a common purpose of engaging in a particular "course of conduct". In this case there's an enterprise - named by Congress - as a "Bankruptcy Ring".

Whereas it's possible, also even probable, that there are various RICO groups/factions doing separate schemes and artifices to defraud; and that they come together as a hub via Defendant Romney's POTUS quest.

It is not necessary that the Defendants have any previous convictions (Sedima).

Continuity exists of the purported "closed" end schemes (such as Romney's POTUS quest). Arguably, there is also evidence that there continues to be efforts to obtain high politico office by someone connected to Romney. Such as Romney's wife, brother and more.

Of the various "open" end plots and ploys there are issues beyond that of a "Bankruptcy Ring" (see 3rd Circuit case of In re Arkansas 798 F.2d 645) - like Stage Stores, Kay Bee, Polaroid and eToys.

There are also examples of schemes & artifices to defraud interstate commerce private & public companies outside of the bankruptcy court. Such as 'The Learning Company', Stage Stores, Toys R Us, Kay Bee and eToys - just to name a few.

Additionally, there's no question of - whether or not - law breaking has occurred. Whereas Defendants MNAT, Barry Gold and Paul Traub have already confessed to a surfeit of statutory violations in eToys (though the bad faith parties cheekily claim such were single aberrant acts of behavior).

All of the above mentioned issues are compounded by the fact that the scope & breadth of Romney's RICO does include the ability to corrupt federal agents/agencies.

Whereas, Defendant Colm Connolly was a partner of the MNAT law firm from 1999 to August 2001.

Reportedly, when plaintiff turned down a Bribe from Defendants of cash, advance of career; reporting such to the Department of Justice ("DOJ") in Delaware. Then Romney (claims) to have "retroactively" retired as CEO of Bain Capital in August 2001 - back to February 1999.

It is no minor coincidence that Defendant Romney is claiming to have resigned his Bain Capital CEO position during the organized crime spree era of 1999 to August 2001; which is also concurrent with Colm Connolly's tenure as partner of MNAT.

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

On August 2, 2001, Defendant Colm Connolly was nominated - and did become - the United States Attorney in Wilmington, Delaware.

For his entire seven (7) years as head federal prosecutor in Delaware, Colm Connolly malevolently did keep his direct links to "targets" of a federal inquest.

While the above mentioned concerns are, in and of themselves, enough happenstance to validate plaintiff's contention that there are gaps in remedy. The fact of the matter remains that the aforementioned dynamics are just the tip of the proverbial iceberg.

The fact that these issues are "directly" related to each other demonstrates this case is extraordinary.

When a plaintiff can document the fact that there "Prosecutorial Gaps" assisting culpable persons, unjustly enriching themselves, by enterprising patterns of racketeering. With the additional caveat that more than one felony violation occurred over a protracted period of time (and in this instant case there are a plethora of crimes). Then, irrefutably, "Prosecutorial Gaps" exists.

Capone would never have been allowed to get away by a perverse license and benefit from federal corruption via having possession of his very own U.S. Attorney.

Nor would Capone be able to utilize a Colm Connolly type plant to assault the Constitution of the United States; and then be allowed to evade prosecution under "retroactive" pretense or claim Statute of Limitations.

The purpose of Civil RICO is to utilize private civil actions by citizens "to fill prosecutorial gaps" Sedima 473 U.S. at 493, 105 S. Ct. at 3283.

[Legitimate businesses's] "enjoy neither an inherent incapacity for criminal activity nor immunity from its consequences. The fact that § 1964(c) is used against respected businesses allegedly engaged in a pattern of specifically identified criminal conduct is hardly a sufficient reason for assuming that the provision is being misconstrued." Sedima, 473 U.S. at 499, 105 S. Ct. at 3286.

The Supreme Court has been unsympathetic to moans that civil RICO has been used against "respected and legitimate enterprises" rather than "mobsters and organized criminals." See Sedima, 473 U.S. at 499, 105 S. Ct. at 3286.

```
"RICO is an aggressive initiative to supplement old remedies and develop
1
2
   new methods of fighting crime" Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Inrex Co.,
3
    Inc., 105 S. Ct. 3275, 3286 (1985) (Justice White)
4
   citing Russello v. United States, 464 U.S. 16, 26-29,
5
 6
    104 S. Ct. 296, 302-303 (1983).
7
        The US Sup. Ct in Sedima, characterized Congress'
8
9
    language as "self-consciously expansive"; accord H.J. Inc. v.
10
    Northwestern Bell Telephone Co., 492 U.S. 229, 249, 109
11
12
    S. Ct. 2893, 2905 (1989)
13
        RICO organization's must have "some function wholly
14
15
    unrelated to the racketeering activity." Chang v. Chen, 80 F.3d
16
    1293, 1299 (9th Cir. 1996) (citing United States v.
17
    Riccobene, 709 F.2d 214, 222-223 (3d Cir. 1983)).
18
19
         It has held that "Congress wanted to reach both
20
    'legitimate' and 'illegitimate' enterprises. "[T]he civil
21
22
    sanctions provided under RICO are dramatic .. but .. such dramatic consequences
23
    are necessary incidents of the deliberately broad swath Congress chose to cut in
24
25
    order to reach the evil it sought ..." Schacht v. Brown, 711 F.2d
26
    1343, 1353 (7th Cir. 1983), citing U.S. v. Turkette,
27
28
    452 U.S. 576, 587, 101 S. Ct. 2524, 2531 (1981).
```

Whereas plaintiff alleges and assures the court 1 2 that it can readily provide evidence Defendants are 3 benefiting from RICO organized crimes. 4 The Defendants are culpable persons with continuous 5 6 patterns of "Racketeering", over many years, with many 7 schemes & artifices to defraud being readily apparent. 8 9 This instant case also has "Prosecutorial Gaps" that are 10 self-evident due to the betrayal of the public's trust 11 by former MNAT partner, Defendant Colm Connolly. 12 13 Additionally, Colm Connolly issues are not the only 14 visible hitches of "Prosecutorial Gaps"; as the DOJ's Public 15 16 Corruption Task Force was shut in March 2008 - AFTER -17 being informed of the corruption by Colm Connolly. 18 19 Furthermore, in March 2008, the Los Angeles Times 20 reported in the story "Shake roils federal prosecutors" - that 21 22 federal agents were actually threatened to keep silent of 23 the reasons for dismantling. This is intolerable! 24 As affirmed per Sedima, when racketeering dynamics 25 26 are being compounded by "Prosecutorial Gaps"; a citizen 27 [plaintiff] may become a "Private Attorney General". 28

# V RACKETEERING & CONFLICTS HEREOF ARE NOT ROCKET SCIENCE ISSUES

One would be extremely hard pressed to even imagine a more quintessential poster-child type case worthy of prosecution for conflicts of interest and racketeering.

This court is burdened with excruciating decisions that it must make. Such is due to the fact that the prior controlling venues, federal agents and federal agencies heretofore - apparently - find the Code & Rule of Law to be dispensable for Above the Law federal "targets".

Whereas, Defendants Barry Gold, Paul Traub and MNAT have already *confessed* lying under oath to a federal justice. Doing so more than thirty-three (33) times.

Enigmatically, "that" court said (in a 2005 opine) that it was "too late" to remove the MNAT law firm.

Additionally, the United States Trustee testified in a February 2005 "UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER DIRECTING DISGORGEMENT OF FEES PAID TO TRAUB BONACQUIST & FOX LLP FOR SERVICES RENDERED AS COUNSEL TO OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS"-that Paul Traub's law firm confessed to "deliberately" perpetrating a fraud on the court.

That prior realm simply, utterly, ignored the 1 paramount issues of premeditated plans of contempt of 2 3 the integrity of the judicial process; and the assaults 4 (immense) upon the Constitution of the United States. 5 6 Not only did Paul Traub ignore the federal police's 7 (United States Trustee) "forewarning"; Defendants also 8 9 colluded to do their transgressions clandestinely! 10 This conspiracy, being extensively heinous and 11 egregious already; also includes conscious decisions to 12 13 betray court approved clients and their trust. 14 There are no greater sins by attorneys at law! 15 16 Be that as it may, the heretofore realm of the 17 Delaware Valley Federal Courts, are of like mind and 18 body that the "beyond all reasonable doubt" confessions to 19 20 deliberate fraud upon the court by its officers - is 21 really - no big deal. 22 23 Bankruptcy justices may not violate Civil Rights in 24 arbitrary & capricious manner via "Color of Law". 25 26 Nor are bankruptcy justices empowered as Article

III realms, to adjudicate upon merits of criminality!

27

28

Whereas it is held by the Circuits, affirmed by the 1 U.S. Supreme Courts and acknowledged by the 9th 2 3 Circuit, most recently, in its Anwar decision; which 4 certified the case of In re Middleton Arms L.P. 934 5 6 F.2d 723, 725 (6th Cir. 1991) "bankruptcy courts cannot use 7 equitable principles to disregard clear and unambiguous statutory language". 8 9 The question that begs is - Why? With the answer 10 being an unequivocal inference, obviously, that Goldman 11 Sachs, Bain Capital & Mitt Romney are Above the Law! 12 13 This is not only an inexplicable and intolerable 14 state of affairs; but it is clear acts of CIVIL WAR! 15 16 As has been long established, by the United States 17 Supreme Court in its decision of COOPER v AARON 358, US 18 1 (1958) pages 18 - parts 9 & 10 - that; "No state legislator 19 20 or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution; without violating 21 22 his solemn oath to support it" (P. 19 \*10). 23 How can it be that no one seems to care about the 24 Code & Rule of Law; and that the Constitution of the 25 26 United States is being assaulted openly? 27 Why is Laser the Liquidator the only one who cares? 28

Aren't there any decent and honorable servants of the public who upkeep their oaths of office?

Is it a fact, inexorable, that Goldman Sachs, Bain Capital and Mitt Romney are abnormally "untouchable"?

We may never know why G-d almighty chose a lowly amoeba such as this plaintiff to battle hordes of contemptible Goliaths; but this pursuer of justice will always battle - until death do us part or remedy comes!

Plaintiff is encouraged by the fact that this good court has not yet been subjected to the corruption.

Her Honor Colleen McMahon of the Southern District of New York ("SDNY") recently made remarks, apropos to this instant case, in Her Honor's decision of the Host Hotels opinion/order on October 31, 2013.

Whereas Her Honor Colleen McMahon punished the Boies, Schiller and Flexner law firm for a serious conflict of interest in SDNY case 1:13-cv-291 (D.I. 99 thereof); as Her Honor stated of the Host Hotels case an "on-point" that "A clearer conflict of interest cannot be imagined. A first year law student on day one of an ethics course should be able to spot it".

the court in the case of Walker v Walden 11th Circuit). As iterated in the eToys bankruptcy case (DE Bankr 01-706 {2001}), by the Delaware Bankruptcy Court's "Opinion" of October 4, 2005 - it is wrong to reward conflicted attorneys and punish a plaintiff.

In its Opinion, the Delaware Bankruptcy Court did reference the US Sup Ct case of In re Hazel Atlas.

Also referencing Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford Empire Co., - is the aforementioned U.S. Trustee's Motion to Disgorge Paul Traub's firm for \$1.6 million.

Whereas, the U.S. Trustee remarks of Hazel-Atlas at 322 U.S. 238, 64. S.Ct. 997 (1944), in "Disgorge Motion"

"[T] ampering with the administration of justice in the manner indisputably shown here [counsel fraudulently created evidence and introduced it at trail] involves far more than an injury to a single litigant. It is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public, institutions in which fraud cannot complacently be tolerated consistently with the good order of society".

The U.S. Trustee Traub Bonacquist & Fox ("TBF") 1 2 does then continue on point of this instant case, with 3 reiteration of the Supreme Court of Hazel-Atlas that; 4 "Surely it cannot be that preservation of the integrity of the judicial 5 6 process must always await upon the diligence of litigants. The public 7 welfare demands that the agencies of public justice be not so impotent that 8 9 they must always be mute and helpless victims of deception and fraud". 10 In the Host Hotels case Her Honor Colleen McMahon 11 12 further concluded (as if specifically written for this 13 case) that the Conflict issues were straightforwardly 14 15 apparent, with the remarking: "This is not ethical rocket science"! 16 Plaintiff is merely a victim of an extremely urbane 17 Racketeering enterprise that benefited a POTUS wannabe. 18 19 Litigant is not after the politico Romney; but this 20 case is about "boss" Romney who boasted that receiving 21 22 millions of dollars each year from Bain Capital. 23 It is already public knowledge that Romney had his 24 Olympic records and Massachusetts Governor Computer 25 26 hard drives destroyed. But the issue of MNAT abolishing 27 its eToys client books & records remains a perplexity. 28

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

Albeit it is a given that the Racketeers realized their belief that "all" records of Romney as CEO of Bain Capital in 2001 were NOT (in fact) destroyed; it is logical their efforts of destruction are now upped.

Be that as it may, this is a "Civil RICO" case, and as such, plaintiff is only required to provide evidence that Romney "indirectly" benefited to the standard of proof of the "preponderance of the evidence".

As it is that Romney bragged (often) of his getting millions of dollars each year from Bain Capital; hence it is only necessary that litigant provide good proof that Bain Capital benefited from fraud.

This RICO case is not an issue of rocket science.

### DEFENDANTS CAME TOGETHER DURING THE eToys BANKRUPTCY CASE VI

As iterated before, it is plausible that schemes by the Racketeers might be independent of each other.

MNAT, Paul Traub and Barry Gold may have all been engaged in totally independent organized crimes, where they were unaware of each other's roles. Be that as it may - obviously - they all came together in eToys.

Defendant Romney had a managing director with him 1 2 at Bain Capital named Bob Gay. 3 Bob Gay is the son of Franklin William ("Bill") Gay 4 who was the head of Howard Hughes Summa Corporation. 5 6 MNAT was the law firm that handled Hughes Aircraft. 7 Purportedly, Bill Gay's brother-in-law was Howard 8 9 Hughes "doping" physician. 10 Upon the untimely demise of the drugged billionaire 11 Howard Hughes, MNAT switched sides and represented the 12 13 Mormon Church's claim upon Howard Hughes Will/estate. 14 Defendants Greg Werkheiser remains as, and Colm 15 16 Connolly was (between 1999 and 2001), a partner of the 17 Morris Nichols. Arsht & Tunnell ("MNAT") law firm. 18 MNAT is the counsel for Defendants Goldman Sachs 19 20 and Bain Capital in/of Delaware issues. 21 Michael Glazer was a co-director for Romney (who 22 23 owned 800,000 + shares) at Stage Stores, while Glazer 24 was also the CEO of Bain Capital's Kay Bee Toys entity. 25 Stage Stores notes Michael Glazer is now its CEO. 26 27 In 2000 and 2001, Barry Gold was the Stage Stores 28 director's assistant, who signed the engagement letter

of Traub Bonacquist & Fox ("TBF") for Stage Stores.

Jack Bush is a Stage Stores co-director who works as a Bain Capital exec elsewhere (like Ideaforest).

Barry Gold and Paul Traub have both confessed, upon the evidence record - that they're linked to Jack Bush.

All the named Defendants heretofore have openly assaulted the Constitution of the United States.

These despicable persons were able to do so, without need of remorse or relent; because the RICO is powerful in its scope and breadth. Whereas the RICO actually had the unseemly ability to place of its own - Colm Connolly - into the position of being the Delaware United States Attorney.

Plaintiff did present to Colm Connolly's office - repeatedly - proof of many issues of organized crimes.

For his entire seven (7) years in office Defendant Colm Connolly violated his oath of office to America, as he declined to investigate and prosecute wrongdoing.

Colm Connolly's usurpation has not only harmed this plaintiff; but other parties of interest are victims, and the integrity of the judicial process is assaulted.

Whereas this plaintiff, other parties of interest and the federal court justices presiding over the cases germane, both State and Federal, were NOT informed that Colm Connolly is a former partner of the Defendant MNAT law firm; and/or related to MNAT's duplicitous clients.

Defendants Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital were legitimate entities, as was MNAT; which have become corrupted via *culpable* Racketeering Defendants.

Romney lied in his sworn statement, under penalty, to the entire nation, about Romney's Bain Capital tenure as CEO. Doing that act of Perjury in order to hide his dishonesty and the facts that he personally benefited from the organized crimes from 1999 to 2001.

Of this issue that Paul Traub, Michael Glazer and Barry Gold all worked for Mitt Romney's Stage Store entity, there can be no dispute; as it is a matter of Public Access Court Electronic Records ("PACER").

Defendants Barry Gold and Paul Traub have confessed that they did deceive the court about the fact that they are Asset Disposition Advisors ("ADA") partners.

And that ADA was formed in April 2001.

This is germane due to the fact of the visible similarity to plaintiff's Collateral Logistics, Inc., ("CLI") entity that was hired as "Liquidation Consultant" for the eToys bankruptcy case to 'maximize returns at minimum expense'.

MNAT has confessed the firm misled the court about Goldman Sachs connection issues (potential conflicts of interest) when MNAT applied to the bankruptcy court to become approved as the eToys "Debtor's" counsel.

Paul Traub's law firm of Traub Bonacquist & Fox ("TBF") also admitted lying under oath to that court, in order to become the approved "Unsecured Creditors Committee" counsel for the eToys bankruptcy estate.

When plaintiff turned down the bribe offers to join the fray and reported the crimes; the RICO adjusted.

MNAT and Paul Traub colluded to usurp plaintiff and his CLI entity (plausibly with premeditated intent to destroy litigant's business). Whereas complainant's CLI efforts in eToys was (obviously) duplicated by illegal insertion of 'wind-down coordinator' Barry Gold. Who was then (unlawfully) made to be eToys President/CEO.

Once this conspiracy to destroy eToys public shell 1 2 and devour its bankruptcy assets was set into full 3 operation; Defendants collectively ostracized this 4 plaintiff & destroyed litigant's business collusively. 5 6 Whereas (believe it or not) MNAT submitted an item 7 (a forgery) purportedly from plaintiff, now known as the 8 9 "Haas Affidavit". eToys Docket item ("D.I.") 816. 10 Ludicrous as it may seem, MNAT (with the assistance 11 of other racketeers) supplicated the "Haas Affidavit" 12 13 (without servicing plaintiff) as MNAT testified to the 14 eToys bankruptcy court that plaintiff simply "waived" 15 16 CLI's right to compensation (of an estimated \$3.7 17 million in fees & expenses). 18 Even more preposterous is the fact that - AFTER -19 20 MNAT, Paul Traub's TBF and Barry Gold confessed their 21 deceptions (and plethora of erroneous affidavits) to the federal 22 23 court. Along with the fact that the U.S. Trustee 24 attested in the "Disgorge Motion" (eToys D.I. 2195 - in 25 26 parts 19 & 35 therein) of Traub's TBF being forewarned. 27 The bankruptcy court accepted MNAT's forgery as valid! 28

The Delaware bankruptcy court disregarded the Law mandating the disqualification of the transgressors.

It also defies Precedents such as the US Sup Ct cases of In re Brady and In re Giglio. Whereas, once an officer of the court admits to having lied under oath, then all further testimony is not worth a salt's grain.

Mind-boggling too, is the fact that the bankruptcy court *Opinion* of October 4, 2005; does stipulate that it would be wrong to "punish [plaintiff] and reward conflicted attorneys" [MNAT, TBF and Frederick Rosner].

And yet, not only is the eToys courts doing that very thing, the court and clerk have become duplicitous in the efforts to cover it all up at direct material adverse harm to the federal election process.

Whereas, the Delaware Bankruptcy Court and Clerk thereof unethically withhold complainants Motion of October 24, 2012 - naming Romney - from being inserted into the PACER online records, in a clear effort to protect Romney's POTUS quest. Whereas plaintiff's Motion was docketed (speciously) on November 6, 2012.

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Subsequently, "that" court did continue to violate plaintiff's Civil Rights; where an order now stands in the record commanding permanent bar of redress.

What everyone is trying to continuously conceal, is the fact that Goldman Sachs, Bain Capital, Mitt Romney, Colm Connolly, Michael Glazer, Barry Gold, Paul Traub, Greg Werkheiser and MNAT are all connected parties.

In spite of the fact that the Delaware Bankruptcy Court's "Opinion" of October 4, 2005 (eToys D.I. 2319) stipulating any other failure to disclose a connection will result in review and sanctions. The fact of the matter remains that the Delaware Bankruptcy Court has stated it will NOT hear plaintiff on the fraud issues.

Intolerably, the Delaware Bankruptcy Court holds to the absurd premise that one must have a justice's okay, in order to inform the court of frauds occurring.

As ridiculous as this all remains, the duplicitous willful blindness of the United States Trustee's office and other DOJ watchdog units goes far beyond conscience shocking. Plaintiff is disheartened and finds it almost hopeless that this case is a Civil WAR running amok!

Defendants all are connected; but pretended to be opponents in the eToys case. Doing so at the direct, material adverse harm of their approved clients!

## VII NATIONAL CRIMES SPREES FOSTERED BY THE RACKETEERING

Willful blindness to the rackets has spawned many crime spree tentacles. Whereas Paul Traub also became partners of fraudster Marc Dreier (doing 20 years) and Paul Traub was named (June 2012) as the "controller" of the Tom Petters Ponzi in Minnesota.

However, that case too, of Paul Traub/ Tom Petters is wretched. Whereas there are several issues of mayhem and homicides directly linked to this instant case.

During the tenure of the Delaware Bankruptcy Court case of *In re eToys*, Fingerhut was being sued by eToys; but the litigation was settled by the fraudsters.

Defendants Paul Traub and Barry Gold have already confessed to their clandestine relationships.

Whereas, Paul Traub's TBF firm became the approved "Unsecured Creditors Committee" counsel; who then conspired with Greg Werkheiser/MNAT to insert Barry

Gold inside eToys as the post-bankruptcy petition President/CEO. Failing to disclose their partnerships.

Upon the success of that scheme & artifice to do fraud, the parties then reduced sales prices of eToys federal estate assets; which plaintiff and his CLI entity had compelled Bain Capital/ Kay Bee to bid tens of millions of dollars to be awarded the eToys assets.

Obviously, this did not sit well with boss Romney and his Nitti henchmen of Paul Traub, Michael Glazer, Barry Gold, Greg Werkheiser and the MNAT law firm.

Even with every person around plaintiff, being secretly involved in the plots to destroy the eToys public company for Goldman Sachs sake; and to rob the eToys bankruptcy estate for Romney's Bain Capital sake. This litigant was still able to burst the RICO bubble (so to speak); because plaintiff did something uncanny.

Whereas everyone else, including federal agents, agencies and justice's had no qualms about selling out their sworn oath to their approved clients; for the sake of their veiled agendas and/or secret patrons.

As it appears this is the sole reason why litigant is in this battle. Whereas, not even for a nano-second did this plaintiff consider the prestige of the offers of his life's dream and wealth exceptional; for this litigant to agree to sell out his eToys client.

Paul Traub is connected to Marc Dreier and Tom

Petters frauds. So is Goldman Sachs and Bain Capital.

Just a few months prior to the FBI raid of Tom

Petters and his many companies acquired by fraudulent

monies. Including Sun Country Airlines, Polaroid and

Fingerhut. Paul Traub did go to Minnesota and arrange

for \$50 million in new funding by none other than Bain

Capital and Goldman Sachs for Fingerhut.

Utilizing the new funding as pretense, the RICO parties "restructured" the ownership of Fingerhut in such a way that "reportedly", the Feds have not, nor has the Federal Receiver (Douglas Kelley) seized the Fingerhut entity.

Even when the Polaroid asset was seized by the Feds and sold in a purported "bona fide" sale to good faith parties. This too, was a ploy to perpetrate fraud.

Whereas Polaroid was sold to the 2<sup>nd</sup> highest bidders of Hilco and Great American. Who just so happen to be clients of Paul Traub.

Upon the success of that scheme, Paul Traub moved in as Polaroid co-principal owner with Gordon Brothers.

One tidbit is the fact that Ed Land, the original founder of Polaroid, just so happens to be the seed money man for the Gordon Brothers liquidations.

Tom Petters Ponzi was connected to other national fraud schemes such as Lancelot in Illinois, Palm Beach Links ("PBL") Capital in Texas and Palm Beach Florida.

There's also the issues of Frank Vennes, Jim Fry, Bruce Prevost and David Harrold.

One of the unaddressed issues of federal fraud, is that of fact that Steve Cammack was co-owner/founder of PBL, with the help of millionaire Bill Cawley.

Whereas, purportedly, Bill Cawley inserted into PBL \$50 million, as Bruce Prevost and David Harrold went to Frank Vennes's house to specifically set up the PBL entity as a feeder fund into Tom Petters Ponzi.

Plaintiff forwarded this tip on PBL that was received through litigant's Petters-Fraud dot com website. Whereas, Bill Cawley supposedly deposited \$50 million with Steve Cammack/PBL; but (in return) Bill Cawley was "loaned" back \$52 million. Also, Mr. Cawley purportedly took manager fees from the PBL fund.

Compounding those issues further, as if to go full circle, is the fact that Steve Cammack came from the Finova entity. Plaintiff's Smoking Gun evidence that did force MNAT to confess the Goldman Sachs deception, was a result of a PACER typo. Whereas eToys case is 01-706 and Finova is 01-705.

MNAT simultaneously filed both Delaware bankruptcy court cases. Whereas, in the Finova case, MNAT did represent Goldman Sachs.

In 1999, eToys initial public offering ("IPO") was handled by Goldman Sachs.

As is reported by the March 2013 New York Times

OpEd story "Rigging the IPO Game", the eToys stock shot up to

\$85; but Goldman Sachs only gave less than \$20 to the

eToys entity.

When plaintiff discovered Smoking Gun evidences inside the New York Supreme Court case of eToys (renamed ebcl when Romney's Bain Capital stole the domain names) versus Goldman Sachs (case number 60105/2002). Then the Racketeers placed the entire NY Supreme Court case under SEAL from public view.

There's additional crime spree and RICO adaptive issues such as "judicial immunity" being unethically and illegally handed out like candy Get out of Jail Cards.

Whereas, Douglas Kelley's law firm of Kelley Wolter was - initially - Tom Petters attorney.

When Polaroid lender Thane Ritchie's Capital Co., was granted a federal receiver (Billy Procida) for the Tom Petters case; Doug Kelley terminated the effort.

Bizarrely, akin to MNAT switching sides to handle the Church's claim upon Howard Hughes will, Doug Kelley became the Federal Receiver over the Tom Petters Ponzi case, defying all logic.

Can Capone's man Frank Nitti be appointed as the federal receiver over Capone's federal seized assets?

It is absurd that one has to even ask the question!

With the Racketeers knowing this move was way over the top in violation of the Code/Rule of Law, unethical and defiant of all logic/common sense; the RICO adapted once again. Whereas the "profiteer" Douglas Kelley was provided a legislation from the bench of "judicial immunity" to protect him in his unseemliness.

This preposterous juxtapose of the Law was deemed necessary; because plaintiff had been after Paul Traub/

Additionally, the Racketeers were aware that this litigant had "caught" them previously, due to their lies under oath. By the "judicial immunity" Douglas Kelley perversion (which grows worse and worse as the evidence at trial will detail); the bad faith parties could simply break the law in the open.

Judicial Immunity is - as "retroactively" efforts does!

Besides all of that, this time the Racketeers were not just messing with the insignificant Laser Haas. The fact of the matter is (one would think) that there is no more imposing an opponent than Thane Ritchie.

Whereas, Thane Ritchie's is one of noble birth, as 1 his father is "The" Scott Armstrong and Thane Ritchie's 2 3 G-dfather is "THE" Bob Woodward. 4 And yet this did not daunt Romney's Racketeering 5 6 Gang the least bit; as they were able to expel all good 7 faith efforts of Ritchie Capital Management. 8 Tom Petters Ponzi is also tied to Discala that was 9 10 linked to the Rothstein fraud in Florida. 11 Paul Traub was involved in the Enron, Adelphia and 12 13 K-mart cases also. 14 Romney's son Tagg, was involved in the Stanford 15

fraud in Texas.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

More important than those issues are the fact that the Public Corruption Task Force was SHUT DOWN and some career federal agents were (reportedly) threatened to keep their mouths shut as to the real reasons why. (See the March 2008 Los Angeles Times article that is titled "Shake-up roils federal prosecutors".

Even more alarming that all of this are the issues of mayhem and homicides.

Whereas Marty Lackner was involved in Petters Ponzi via Lancelot feeder fund.

Proof has now arisen that documents the fact that Marty Lackner was the brother of J. Lackner.

That is Minnesota Assistant U.S. Attorney (former head of Criminal Division) J. Lackner.

Purportedly, Marty Lackner committed suicide.

## VIII BEWILDERING JUDICIAL NOTICE ISSUES THAT ARE NECESSARY

Unfortunately, because litigant is proceeding as a "prose" party, who is (at the barest of minimums) making contentions that are obviously mind-boggling.

Complainant is compelled to seek mystifying orders and/or Judicial Notice (via Federal Rule of Civil Procedure {"Fed.R.Civ.P"}) 201(d); because it is a fact that - heretofore - the fabric of the integrity of the judicial process has been torn asunder so despicably; there remains less than a thread bare memory of any uprightness.

Accompanying this "Preliminary RICO Case Statement" will be a Request for Judicial Notice 201(d) - that the court affirm that the Defendants - are not Above the Law!

## IX PLAINTIFF WAS PREVIOUSLY THREATENED BY HIS COUNSEL

Whereas plaintiff has already stated in an initial and the "1st Amended" Complaint - officially titled as "Haas v Romney" - that litigant is compelled to come forth as a "prose" party due to a plethora of factors.

There are clear and convincing issues of obvious federal corruption, mayhem and homicides that are directly connected to this instant case.

Currently, to assist this pursuit for justice this plaintiff is seeking counsel who is not afraid of the powers, might and undue influence of the Defendants.

Whereas, in October 2004, plaintiff was threatened to "back off" (with email threats arising by plaintiff's own CLI counsel Henry Heiman).

Upon counsel Henry Heiman refusing to comply with the Law and inform federal authorities of fraud on the court evidence; Heiman withdrew and so did subsequent counsel Michael Weiss.

Surreptitiously, Plaintiff's daughter was then subsequently abducted when a "set-up" scheme failed!

Whereas, complainant submitted the Smoking Gun 1 proof of Paul Traub's lies under oath and fraud upon 2 3 the Delaware Bankruptcy Court. Forced to do so on his 4 own as a "pro se". After plaintiff's CLI counsel of 5 Henry Heiman, Michael Weiss and the Fox Rothschild law 6 7 firms refused to do so - in violation of the Law. (See 8 9 18 U.S.C. 4 \$ MisPrision of a Felony). 10 It is a fact litigant shared office space in Los 11 Angeles where Larry Reynolds was less than 25 ft. away. 12 13 Larry "Reservitz" is the real name of the party who 14 was a Tom Petters/ Paul Traub Ponzi scheme partner that 15 has been convicted (in prison for 10 years). 16 17 Plaintiff turned down a "great" deal offered to him 18 on his birthday of October 31, 2004. Whereas a \$20 19 20

litigant could be the 10% agent of.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

million dollar deal purportedly existed in Las Vegas (where Larry "Reservitz" Reynolds resided) that this

However, as it turns out, the Louis Vuitton, Rolex Watches and other goods were what is known as "swag" (manufactured items of brand names by improper party).

When plaintiff reported the massive illegal goods
warehoused by Mr. Assage, to the local and federal
authorities and did then go to a poker tournament; my
daughter was abducted.

Though she was found, she has suffered from the ordeal forevermore. While, during that time, plaintiff had to go to the hospital and wait for hours to be able to identify - whether or not - my daughter was dead!

It was learned, in 2008 - that Larry ("Reservitz")
Reynolds was a partner/money launderer of \$12 Billion
for the Tom Petters Ponzi - while in WISTEC.

## X ADDITIONAL ISSUES OF MAYHEM AND HOMICIDES

In 2004, eToys shareholder Robert Alber joined this plaintiff's quest for justice.

Robert Alber has stated under oath that he was threatened by Defendant Johann Hamerski that "people like you who turn down bribes - Wakes up Dead"!

In 2010, Mr. Alber's lifetime friend (Gary Ramsey) vanished; and Michael Sesseyoff attacked Robert Alber who shot/ killed his assailant in Kingman, Arizona!

11

12

1314

1516

1718

1920

2122

23

2425

26 27

28

Beyond the other issues of the suicide of Marty
Lackner, who was connected to Petters Ponzi while he
was also the brother of Minnesota Assistant United
States Attorney J. Lackner. Along with the plethora of
questions that beg. Such as did J. Lackner every get
any "gifts" from his brother Marty; and/or who else in
the Minnesota federal prosecutors office knew of the
unseemly relationship.

There's also the specious issue that John "Jack" Wheeler is on video visiting Colm Connolly's Nemours Building; before he was MURDERED!

## XI Traub's Partner Bonacquist Works for the U.S. State Department

Harold Bonacquist of the Traub Bonacquist & Fox/
TBF law firm reportedly works as counsel for the U. S.
Department of State, in Istanbul, Turkey as counsel of
Consulate General.

Bizarrely, in an apparent effort to protect Paul
Traub and his TBF issues, the New York State Bar and NY
Supreme Court stipulates parties must contact the
United States Embassy in the Philippines, to make an
appointment with Bonacquist.

Traub's TBF firm was documented, during the March 1, 2005 evidence hearing, to have been "Revoked" by the Secretary of the State of New York.

## XII RACKETEERS CONVICTED IN RELATED CASES AND BIZARRE ISSUES

What is extremely specious is the fact that the Traub/Petters Ponzi cohort Mike Catain admitted he did launder \$10 Billion; and Larry (Reservitz) Reynolds has likewise confessed that he laundered \$12 Billion.

Furthermore, Mr. Stobner, a bankruptcy Trustee of one of Petters/Traub Ponzi cases pointed out the fact that the Ponzi scheme was in excess of \$40 Billion.

However, the Minnesota DOJ and Douglas Kelley have decided to set a new standard for Law enforcing of high dollar schemes. Whereas Mr. Kelley and the Minnesota DOJ downplay the affairs by telling the press that the Petters Ponzi is just a \$3.7 Billion dollar scheme.

In the meantime, it appears that Receiver Douglas
Kelley and the Minnesota DOJ feel it necessary to put
the (legislation from the bench) "Judicial Immunity" to very
important and nationally significant use.

Whereas, the evidence arose documenting that J.P.

Morgan put pressure on Petters to get monies from

(somewhere) to pay off J.P. Morgan, or the fraud would

be exposed; then Ritchie Capital still got stiffed.

Not only did Receiver Douglas Kelley and the DOJ in Minnesota help to expunge the Mandatory (MVRA) Act; and give the Minnesota DOJ \$15 million in the process. The second fraud, sting the victims again schemes, also apparently felt the need to "bless" Paul Traub for making all the adaptive RICO scheming ways possible.

Though Fingerhut was never seized by the "Judicially Immune" Federal Receiver Douglas Kelley, Polaroid was the real crown jewel; was actually seized.

However, the Polaroid seizure was to make sure that certain parties got off 'Scot Free', such as David Baer, Mary Jeffries and Michael O'Shaughnessy (to name a few). While the Fingerhut "non"-seizure appears to be necessary for reasons that discovery will ferret out.

Once the parties nefarious, were protected as was desired, then Polaroid was given back to Traub.

Our eToys bankruptcy case has become the poster child of the Law being broken in every way possible.

Bankruptcy Fraud Sections 152 thru 157 and Obstruction of Justice are RICO "predicate acts" under 18 U.S.C. & 1961.

Of course, it was helpful that MNAT, as eToys.com
Debtor's counsel, had a (purported) opponent in Traub's
/TBF law firm. Who was also (furtively) connected to
GSachs and Bain/Kay Bee. Whereas Paul Traub and his
partner Michael Fox lied (and continues) to lie to the
DE BK Ct to hide Bain/Kay Bee conflicts of interest.

Furthermore, Paul Traub's TBF had other secret clients and issues that should have been disclosed.

Such as that of Merrill Lynch, Ozer Group, Playco/ Toys International and Wells Fargo.

Whereas, Traub's TBF was working for the eToys creditors prior to eToys bankruptcy case filing.

In November 2000, several months before the March 7, 2001 eToys bankruptcy petition was filed by MNAT; Traub arranged for Foothill Capital (a Division of Wells Fargo) to loan eToys \$40 million.

Prior to March 7, 2001 the Foothill Capital \$40 million dollar loan transacted more than \$100 million.

This preferential dealing (probable fraudulent conveyance) is also known as a John Gellene style Fraud.

In the 1994 Wisconsin bankruptcy case of <u>In re</u>

<u>Bucyrus</u> (E.D. Wisc. Bankr 94-20786), John Gellene did

work with the Milbank & Tweed firm and supplicated a

couple of erroneous Bankruptcy Rule 2014/2016 Affidavits. Failing

to disclose the Conflict of Gellene being connected to

a \$35 million loan by Salovaara (who, coincidently, is

a GSachs former).

As a result of Gellene being "caught" and held "accountable" for his fraudulent actions; John Gellene was sentenced to over a year in prison. Then the Milbank & Tweed firm had to disgorge (return) their entire \$1.9 million in fees & expenses; and also lost tens of millions of dollars in malpractice litigation.

Our eToys case, the mountains of acts of deceit, perjury and massive acts of Bankruptcy Fraud makes what John Gellene did seem like child's play in comparison.

Though Paul Traub uncannily continues to be able to 1 avoid the arrest that is long overdue. There are many 2 3 stalwarts of the RICO who are imprisoned already. 4 They include, but are not limited to, Mike Catain, 5 6 Larry Reynolds, purported whistle-blower Deanna Coleman 7 and Bob White. 8 More recently, Bruce Prevost, David Harrold, Jim 9 10 Fry and Frank Vennes have been sentenced. 11 Meanwhile, this instant case is compelled to visit 12 13 the sentencing and actions leading thereto of fraudster 14 Marc Dreier and Ponzi schemer Tom Petters. 15 Why Tom Petters counsel has failed to address the 16 17 mitigating issues of Larry ("Reservitz") Reynolds, Paul 18 Traub and Marty Lackner/Minnesota Assistant United 19 20 States Attorney J. Lackner. 21 At the barest of minimums those collective issues 22 23 24

would be substantive grounds for Tom Petters to get a new trial.

It is also surreptitious that, out of all the places, Marc Dreier is serving his time in Minnesota!

25

26

27

28

### XIII BACKGROUND ON ROMNEY'S BAD FAITH BEGINNINGS

1.0

Though it is debated by pundits, on - whether or not - Romney was planning to push the limits of the Law from the outset; and that is why Bain & Company pushed Romney to form a separate Bain Capital.

Much banter has ensued during the Election endeavors of Romney's quest to be POTUS. Including chitchat of - whether or not - Mitt Romney obtained his original \$9 million in funding of Bain Capital from monies by Salvadoran émigré linked to "Death Squads".

Be that as it may, there can be NO debate about the fact that Romney obtained his funding for the Stage Stores entity, from junk bond fraudster Michael Milken.

As reported in his September 2012 Rolling Stone cover story "Greed and Debt: The True Story About Mitt Romney and Bain Capital", activist Matt Taibbi (who has a blog linked to by Rolling Stone Magazine) - details the fact that the justice presiding over Milken's fraud case permitted the Stage Stores specious funding to continue; because the Judge's wife benefited from the Milken subsidy.

Taibbi's "Greed and Debt" article received some of his

Debt" also points out the Glazer Bribery Bankruptcy
Fraud scheme of Glazer paying himself \$18 million when
he paid Bain Capital \$83 million; before filing the Kay
Bee bankruptcy case (DE Bankr 04-10120).

Of course, if anyone else did such a Bankruptcy
Fraud scheme, they would wind up in prison swiftly. But
those parties would not be the Above the Law RICO Gang
of Romney's. Nor would they ever hope to have in their
side pocket, the federal prosecutor (Connolly) who was
supposed to investigate the case.

Rolling Stone also missed the key fact that the parties in the Kay Bee case (such as Glazer, Barry Gold and Traub/TBF) were also in the Stage Stores debacle.

As should now be readily apparent to any reviewing party, Mitt Romney sought to be "retroactively" retired as Bain's CEO, from August 2001, back to February 11, 1999; specifically to dodge his culpability & Romney's accountability for the eToys Frauds & Fed Corruption.

#### XIV BANKRUPTCY RINGS

As is a pattern of this RICO, legitimate business entities often wind up in bankruptcy. Where Defendants then engage in another scheme to continue to devour the assets of various entities by their "Bankruptcy Ring".

Congress was aware some attorneys at law, who did specialize in bankruptcy cases, are able to sneakily seize federal estates assets as their own piggy bank.

To arrest this Conflict issue of self-dealing in bankruptcy cases, at the (Breach of Fiduciary Duty) detriment of clients; Congress changed the Bankruptcy Codes & Rules of LAW compelling full disclosure of any and all potential "conflicts of interests" issues to the court.

Whereas, law firms are required to file Bankruptcy Section 327(a) Application as a Professional Person; in order to get approval of bankruptcy justices.

Additionally, the candidate must state that they are Bankruptcy Section 101(14) Disinterested Person; and then the applicant must submit a Bankruptcy Rule 2014 Affidavit - stating such is true - Under Penalty of Perjury!

As should now be patently obvious, the Defendants in this case almost always choose to fail to disclose their Conflict of Interest issues to anyone.

Currently, Defendants MNAT, Traub and Barry Gold are continuously lying under oath and in Breach of their Fiduciary Duty to the DE BK Ct approved clients for the sake of (at the barest of minimums) Bain items.

In its decision of <u>In re Arkansas</u> 798 F. 2d 645; the 3rd Circuit matriculates Congressional reflections that "--legislative history makes clear the 1978 [Bankruptcy] Code was designed to eliminate the abuses and detrimental practices that had been found to prevail. Among such practices was the cronyism of the "bankruptcy ring" and attorney control of bankruptcy cases. In fact, the House Report noted that '[i]n practice ... the bankruptcy system operates more for the benefit of attorneys than for the benefit of creditors.' H.R. No. 595, 95th Cong., 2d Sess. 92, reprinted in 1978 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News 5787, 5963, 6053".

Obviously, Congress was well aware that there was a potential for riggings of the U.S. Bankruptcy system; and that such would be problematic. Thus the Code & Rule of Law was changed to prevent such Conflicts!

The Law making arm of our nation's government also (prudently) built-in the Bankruptcy Fraud statutes §§ 152 thru

156 into the RICO Act. Whereas Bankruptcy Fraud is made a part of RICO as felonies under 18 USC § 1961 "predicate acts".

Due, in no small part, to the hubris of Romney and his Bankruptcy Ring believing Mitt's POTUS quest would succeed; there's a vast evidence trail full of rocksolid date/ time stamped Bankruptcy Fraud violations.

Compounding that issue is the fact that the RICO Defendants believed they had already gotten away 100% 'Scot Free'. This assumption was laid waste when plaintiff ferreted out the *Smoking Gun* proofs in 2004.

As a result of Defendants haughtier, there's an abundance of evidence trails fully visible in the PACER system and/or other federal archives irrefutable.

Hence, plaintiff's need of compliance with the specificity and particularity requisites of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed.R.Civ.P") 9(b) is therefore achieved. Such as each and every time Defendants wire-filed or mailed their falsities, obstructive, to the various courts.

## XV Corroboration of Fraud & Corruption Issues by Esteemed Persons

Of this instant case, plaintiff asserts that the issues are "clearer" than almost any other case. As it is a fact that Defendants MNAT, Paul Traub and Barry Gold have "already" CONFESSED lying under oath more than thirty-three (33) times to a federal justice.

Furthermore, as evidence will clearly demonstrate at trial, some Defendants were "forewarned" NOT to DO the specific fracture of Bankruptcy Code & Rules.

Not only did the parties violate the Law. It is a court docketed matter of record that Traub's TBF law firm already admitted that Traub (& his partner Michael Fox) made a conscious decision to allow the Lies Under Oath to remain in place, in its continuous deceptive form.

# This is convincing admittance of Fraud on the Court!

That is why the United States Trustee's Motion to

Disgorge TBF for \$1.6 million in the eToys case, does

stipulate (in parts 19 & 35 thereof) that the parties

were cautioned in advance. Because of the forewarning the

"Disgorge Motion" concludes Fraud on the Court occurred!

1
 2
 3

Plaintiff also alleges Defendants are utilizing these unlawful Racketeering profits for the sake of expanding the strength, scope & breadth of their RICO.

Clear Channel Communications is one by-product of the RICO's monies that facilitates Willard Mitt Romney and his cohorts' quest for high political office.

UCLA Law Professor Lynn LoPucki wrote a book about Bankruptcy Court Corruption titled "Courting Failure" How Competition for Big Bankruptcy Cases is Corrupting the Bankruptcy Courts.

Senator John Cornyn quoted Professor LoPucki's remarks in his Legal Times article "They Owe Us". Where the Texas Senator John Cornyn noted that picking a venue for a case is like picking a verdict.

A visiting justice (Judge Judith Fitzgerald) did point out that the UST's office was duplications in hiding millions of dollars of Tersigni fraud from the court.

Whereas Her Honor remarked and was quoted by the Press stating "What is going on with the United States Trustee"?

His Honor Thomas Tucker in Michigan was pressured to go soft in the case of Matrix Technology Group; and choose, instead, to Re-Publish his decision on the finding that Fraud on the Court occurred. Whereas His Honor stipulated "FOR PUBLICATION" that it is the Duty of the court's to address issues of fraud on the court. Else, the bad faith (Fraud) is encouraged to endure & grow! Plaintiff hopes & prays that this good court sees the fact that the "good ole boys" network has gotten way too far out of control; and that remedy needs be. 

### XVI ADDRESSING RULE 11 ISSUES

As is established upon the PACER; this RICO Complaint is assigned the case number of 2:13-cv-7738.

Whereas the moving party (in this instant case a "prose" person who did not graduate High School and had less than stellar grades regarding "English" classes (especially of sentence structures)); is possibly also required, and/or will be ordered, to make a reasonable inquiry required by Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed.R.Civ.P"), for clarity's sake.

As this plaintiff understands Rule 11 (a) commands that a party sign all pleadings; and this litigant has done so, with dates alongside his signatures.

Additionally, as per Rule 11(b) "Representations to the Court" the moving party is required to "Certify" for the presiding that the Petitioner has "formed the Complaint - AFTER - an inquiry reasonable".

WHEREAS plaintiff asserts/affirms litigant has been "reasonably" inquiring for the facts for many years!

Furthermore, Rule 11(b)(1) requires that the [RICO] case being presented is done so, in good faith.

WHEREAS this plaintiff asserts/affirms that this instant case is of just cause and that litigant has been seeking expedient justice for more than a decade!

Of Rule 11(b)(2) a Complaint is to be of proper legal claims, defenses. Of this issues - IN FACT - plaintiff has been nonstop seeking to establish existing law.

Litigant is seeking to arrest arbitrary/capricious abuses of the judicial process and many "Color of Law" Frauds upon the Courts  $vis-\grave{a}-vis$  Officers of the Court!

WHEREAS, per Fed.R.Civ.P11(b)(3) this Complaint has "factual" contentions "evidentiary supported" that is chiefly corroborated by unassailable PACER docket items and undeniable Federal Archives!

Finally, concerning Fed.R.Civ.P11(b)(4) - all denials of this allegations hereof by rulings of prior venues, courts, justices and/or federal agents/ agencies were Unreasonable and - IN FACT - lacked jurisprudence!

As per the standing Court Order concerning the RICO Case Statement, [plaintiff's] shall use the caption(s) numbers and letters as specified by the court.

Litigants are required to state in detail and with specificity the required information.

WHEREAS, this plaintiff understands this to mean that the court seeks for Complainant to lay out his "entire" case against ALL known RICO "Defendants" and ALL know RICO co-conspirators.

Plaintiff is more than ready/willing and able to lay out the entire case; having desired his day in court for more than a decade now.

Plaintiff prays this court has patience with him and his lack of formal education, if, but for no other reason, the issues of mayhem and homicides hereof.

Litigant, apologizes in advance to the court for the profuse issues that this case is about to present to His Honor. Hoping FACTS are worth the court's time.

Whereas, this case has issues vast, of national important and significant issues, as pertains to the civil needs of maintaining a good order of society.

Plaintiff stipulates the information herein is affirmed as true - Under Penalty of Perjury!

Litigant again apologizes to the court for the many inadequacies; and prays that the court see the facts and evidences are all - chiefly - public docket records or/and federal archives undeniable.

It is not this plaintiff's fault that everyone who swore an oath to treat rich and poor equally and/or to defend the Constitution of the United States from all enemies foreign and DOMESTIC; have either chosen to be willfully blind, tuck tail and run - and/or - join the

bad faith Racketeers via acts duplicitous. As it is 1 2 patently obvious that the proverbial "FIX" for the 3 "good ole boys" club - is definitely "IN". 4 Plaintiff is coming before this court and will do 5 6 so on bended knees, in sackcloth and ashes (if that is 7 what it takes) - just seeking to resolve Diogenes Quest 8 9 for the honorable public servant with the temerity and 10 tenacity to apply the Code and Rule of Law. 11 Romney and his RICO Gang, with Paul Traub as one of 12 13 his Nitti's; went all in to place a RICO boss in the 14 White House. 15 16 By G-d's grace - Romney Didn't Make It! 17 Whereas, I, Steven Haas, (more commonly known as 18 "Laser") does state that the above mentioned issues are 19 20 true and correct; and that plaintiff is simply seeking 21 the court to adjudicate upon the merits. 22 PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL! 23 24 Litigant begs the courts indulgence? 25 Tura Signed / Date (2-2-20/5 26 27 Steve ("Laser") Haas

2.8

Appearing "Pro Se"

Private Attorney General